

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,716	08	8/20/2003	Yoshihiro Makita	S004-5100	6110
7590 11/03/2004				EXAMINER	
ADAMS & V	VILKS		OWENS, DOUGLAS W		
50 Broadway				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, N	Y 10004	ļ	2811		
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/644,716	MAKITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Douglas W Owens	2811				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  sys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	October 2004.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 April 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	` '	_					
2) D Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [08] 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/644,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of group I, claims 1, 2 and 7

- 9 in the reply filed on October 7, 2004 is acknowledged.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making:
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 2811

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the first four lines of the abstract compare the invention with the prior art. Additionally, the abstract exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Objections

4. Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5 of claim 1, "to" should be replaced with --on--, and in line 4 of claim 7, "to" should be replaced with --on--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 2 and 7 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0155943 to Morishita.

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 9, Morishita teaches a semiconductor device (Figs. 1 – 3, for example), comprising:

a flexible printed circuit (paragraph [0006]) having a connection terminal portion that includes a plurality of land-shaped connection terminals (8b) arranged in a grid form

and an insulating film (7) provided on a wiring (8) connected with the respective landshaped connection terminals;

a semiconductor chip (3) mounted on the flexible printed circuit; and an electronic part (LCD described in paragraph [0030]) operated at a time when an output signal from the chip is inputted through the plurality of connection terminal lands.

Regarding claim 2, the limitation of using the terminals for electrical test is a suggested use limitation and has not been given any patentable weight (See In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 938, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)).

Regarding claim 8, Morishita teaches an electronic device, wherein the electronic part comprises a terminal portion provided in a region connected with the flexible printed circuit, and the terminal portion inherently comprises a plurality of terminals (the wires (4) must connect to terminals (See Fig. 8)) at positions opposed to the connection terminal lands and a plurality of wirings (4) which are connected with the terminals and covered with an insulating film ((7), see Fig. 4).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-1662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,716 Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas W. Owens

night. Own

Patent Examiner